

**Interview Summary**

Application No.	Applicant(s)
09/899,686	BACON, CHESTER A.
Examiner	Art Unit
Travis B Ribar	1711

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Travis B Ribar. (3) James Seidleck.  
(2) Ms. Carolyn Fischer. (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 08 April 2003.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference  
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.

If Yes, brief description: Demonstrated the printability and stain resistance of retroreflective sheeting containing the composition of the applicant's invention.

Claim(s) discussed: 23-37.

Identification of prior art discussed: Malik et al.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The applicant brought in a copy of their recently submitted amendment. The current claims do not include the acetone solubility requirement found in the originally examined claims. The applicant will file a supplemental amendment to include further structural limitations.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an AIA request for a 124 interview.

Travis B Ribar  
Examiner's signature required